

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/538,652	DINGS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MANDY C. LOUIE	1792	

All Participants:

(1) MANDY C. LOUIE.

(2) ERIC B. COMPTON.

Status of Application: After first action

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2009

Time: 12:05 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-32

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 1 will be amended as discussed with Applicant's representative to omit limitations "substantially parallel to the substrate" and "substantially parallel to the substrate surface, wherein the second axis is substantially perpendicular to the first axis" and be rewritten as dependent claims 33-34 of claim 1. Withdrawn claims 16-30 and 32 will be canceled.